# Two Optional Past "Tenses" in Kanien'kéha

Terrance Gatchalian<sup>\*</sup> McGill University terrance.gatchalian@mail.mcgill.ca

WSCLA 27, University of Toronto 26–28 April 2024

## **Empirical question**

OPTIONAL PAST TENSE, illustrated with the two past suffixes in Kanien'kéha in  $(1)^1$ , has been identified in several languages with the following properties:

- · Past time reference is attainable with and without the past tense morpheme
- Marked past tense forms often come with **an additional discontinuity or cessation meaning** (Cable 2017 on Tlingit, Bochnak 2016 on Wá·šiw, Chen et al. 2021 on Javanese and Atayal)

(1) "Tense" suffixes: Former Past -(*h*)*kwe*' and Remote Past -*hne*'

- a. Ohna'kénhaton shà:kken' wató:ratskwe'
   Ohna'kénhaton sh-a'-k-ken-' w-atorat-s-kwe'
   last.time COIN-FACT-ISGA-see-PUNC FZ.A-hunt-HAB-FOR.PAST
   'When I saw her last, she was hunting.'
   ⇒ She is not currently hunting.
- b. Sue rotiniakòn:ne'.
  Sue roti-niak-on-hne
  Sue M.DU.P-marry-STAT-REM.PAST
  'He had been married to Sue.'
  ⇒ They are no longer married.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>*Niawenhkó:wa* to Mary Onwá:ri Tekahawáhkwen McDonald, Akwiratékha' Martin, Konwaronhiá:wi Helen Norton, and Katerí Deer for sharing their knowledge of Kanien'kéha with me. Thanks to Luis Alonso-Ovalle, Jessica Coon, Chase Boles, Tsowén:te Cross, Shona'tsó:wane Diabo, Sophia Flaim, Karin Michelson, Katya Morgunova, Willie Myers, Wishe Mittelstadt, Jonathan Palucci, Martin Renard, and the participants of the Roti'nikonhrowá:nens group and the Syntax-Semantics/MULL Reading Groups at McGill, the SSCircle at UC Berkeley, and WCCFL 42 for comments on various stages of this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Abbreviations used in glosses follow Leipzig conventions, with the following Kanien'kéha specific glosses added: A, agent pronominal prefix; CIS, cislocative; COIN, coincidental; DUPL, duplicative/dualic; HAB, habitual apsect; FACT, factual mood; FI, feminine-indefinite; FOR.PST, former past; FUT, future mood; FZ, feminine-zoic; N, neuter; P, patient pronominal prefix; PRT, partitive; PUNC, punctual aspect; Q, question particle ; REM.PST, remote past; STAT, stative aspect.

# Contributions

① This cessation interpretation has raised a typological question on the status of so-called DISCONTIN-UOUS PAST as a category of tense that is *semantically distinct from plain past tense*. Two proposals have been forwarded:

- Discontinuous pasts exist (Plungian and van der Auwera 2006):
   "...roughly characterizable as "past and not present" or "past with no present relevance"" (p. 317)
- 2. No discontinuous past (Cable 2017): Discontinuity/cessation interpretations associated with such past tenses are IMPLICATURES

- Contribution to question of discontinuous past: -

I show that "discontinuous past" finds **no independent, unambiguous support** in Kanien'kéha.

<sup>(2)</sup> In prior descriptions and analyses of Kanien'kéha (and other Northern Iroquoian langauges), the two suffixes are treated together as instances of "past marking".<sup>2</sup> I will argue today that:

- 1. The Former Past -(h)kwe' is a past tense with a cessation implicature (as in English, Tlingit, Wá·šiw)
- 2. The Remote Past *-hne*' is **not a past tense**, but rather a "cessative" (to defined below, §4)

– Contribution to description of Kanien'kéha: -

- I note a **previously undescribed semantic difference** between the use of the two past suffixes.
- Forward a novel hypothesis about the **distribution** of the Remote Past *-hne*', which is **more restricted than previously described**.
- ⇒ Together, these may help us make **better predictions about where speakers** use the Remote Past *-hne*'.

I proceed as follows:

- §1: Establish that the two Past moprhemes can be understood (provisionally) as Tense
- §2: Describe an difference in the strength of the cessation inference between the two Past suffixes, suggesting a "discontinuous past" analysis
- §3: Establish further the distribution of the two Past suffixes, and conclude that there is in fact no support for "discontinuous past" in Kanien'kéha
- §4: Propose that difference in inference strength arises from the temporal properties of the verb
- §5: Summarize and raise further questions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For Kanien'kéha, see Michelson (1973: 17), Bonvillain (1973: 213–4); explicit claims of their syntactic/semantic identity are forwarded in Ormston (1993); Baker and Travis (1997, 1998); for implicit claims elsewhere, see e.g. Lounsbury (1953: 87–8) on Oneida, Lukaniec (2018: 322) on Wendat, Woodbury (2018: 165*ff*) on Onondaga.

# 1 Kanien'kéha has two optional pasts

#### Interpretation of morphologically tenseless clauses and past marked clauses 1.1 • Verbs inflect for one of three aspects. The Habitual and Stative aspects have **on-going episodic** interpretations, depending on the aspectual properties of verb (Cross et al. 2023) · Kanien'kéha past suffixes are not required for past reference, illustrated in (2) for the Habitual aspect and (3) for the Stative. (2) Morphologically Tenseless Clause with Habitual Aspect Wató:rats. a. w-atorat-s FZ.A-hunt-HAB 'She is hunting.' (Present on-going) Oh na'kénhaton shà:kken' b. wató:rats. Oh na'kénhaton sha'-k-ken-' w-atorat-s last.time COIN-1SG>FZSG-see-PUNC FZ.A-hunt-HAB 'When I saw her last, she was hunting.' (Past on-going) (3) Morphologically Tenseless Clause with Stative Aspect<sup>3</sup> Shawátis rotshókwen. a. Shawatis ro-atshokw-en MsgP-smoke-stat Iohn 'John is smoking.' (Present on-going) Shawátis shahí:ken' rotshókwen. b. Shawatis sh-a'-hi-ken-' ro-atshokw-en COIN-FACT-1SG>MSG-see-PUNC MSGP-smoke-stat Iohn 'John was smoking when I saw him.' (Past on-going) When marked with one of two Past suffixes, they are obligatorily past referring. (4) Past suffixes a. wató:ratskwe' w-atorat-s-kwe' FZ.A-hunt-HAB-FOR.PST 'She \*is/was hunting.' (Former Past -(*h*)*kwe*') b. Shawátis rotshokwèn:ne'. Shawatis ro-atshokw-en-hne' MSGP-smoke-stat-rem.pst Iohn (Remote Past -hne') 'John \*is/was smoking.'

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ The example in  $(_{3}b)$  requires further consideration in light of the account developed below, which predicts this sentence to be infelicitous. Anticipating the discussion below: while in matrix contexts, tenseless stative forms of unbounded verbs like  $(_{3}b)$  are able to express past on-going events; in embedded contexts, they cannot.

## 1.2 Optional pasts are absolute past referring

No past-in-the-future use, i.e., suffixes restricted to absolute past (omitted for time)

(See appendix A for further details.)

Summary \_\_\_\_

- Morphologically tenseless clauses may have present or past reference
- Both Former and Remote Pasts are **restricted to (absolute) past reference**

## 2 Semantic differences: defeasibility of the cessation inference

This section illustrates a semantic difference between the two past suffix, which have previously been described as semantically identical. Specifically,

- FORMER PAST -(h)*kwe*' has a cessation **implicature** (5–6)
- REMOTE PAST -*hne*' has a cessation **entailment** (7–8)
- (5) Former past on Stative gives rise to cessation inference Kahiatónhsera rowennahnó:tahkwe' kahiatonhsera ro-wennahnot-a-hkwe' book MsGP-read-STAT-FOR.PST
  'He was reading the book.'
  ⇒ He is not currently reading
- (6) Cancellation of inference via explicit denial and ignorance statement
  - a. Shontakatáweia'te rowennahnó:tahkwe'
     Shon-ta-k-ataweia't-e ro-wennahnot-a-hkwe'
     COIN-CIS.FACT-1SGA-enter-PUNC
     MSGP-read-STAT-FOR.PST

tánon shé:kon rowennà:note' ó:nen'k tanon' shekon ro-wennahnot-e' onen'k and still MsGP-read-sTAT right.now

'He was reading when I entered, and he is still reading right now.'

b. ... nek tsi iah tewakateriéntare' tóka shé:kon rowennà:note'
... nek tsi iah te-wak-aterientar-e' toka shekon ro-wennahnot-e'
... but NEG NEG-1SGP-know-STAT if still MSGP-read-STAT 'He was reading when I entered, but I don't know if he's still reading.'

#### (7) Remote Past cessation inference cannot be cancelled via explicit denial of cessation

a. Shawátis rotshokwèn:ne.

Shawatis ro-atshokw-en-hne John MsGP-smoke-stat-rem.past 'John was smoking.'  $\Rightarrow$  John is not currently smoking b. #Shawátis rotshokwèn:ne {tánon'/nek tsi} shé:kon rotshókwen.
 Shawatis ro-atshokw-en-hne tanon'/nek tsi shekon ro-atshokw-en
 John MsGP-smoke-STAT-REM.PAST and/but still MsGP-smoke-STAT
 Intended: 'John was smoking and/but he is still smoking .'

### (8) No cancellation with explicit statement of ignorance

a. Context: Paul and Sue got married in the 80s. Someone asks me whether I know Paul Hen riienté:ri'. Sue rotiniakòn:ne'. Hen ri-ienteri-'. Sue roti-niak-on-hne Yes 1SG>M.SG-know-PUNC. Sue M.DU.P-marry-STAT-REM.PST 'Yes, I know him. He was married to Sue.' ⇒ they are not married anymore

## b. #Sue rotiniakòn:ne'

Sue roti-niak-on-hne

Sue M.DU.P-marry-stat-rem.past

nek tsi iah tesewakaterièn:tare' tóka' shé:kon rotiniá:ken nek tsi iah te-se-wak-aterien:tar-e' toka' shekon roti-niak-en but NEG NEG-REP-1SG.P-know-PUNC if still M.DU.P-marry-STAT Intended: 'He was married to Sue, but I don't know if they're still married.'

Interim summary \_

 $\cdot\,$  Former and Remote Pasts are differentiated by the defeasibility of their cessation inference.

• A straightforward account encodes this difference in the semantics of the suffixes: i.e., the Remote Past *-hne'* is a "discontinuous past" (Plungian and van der Auwera 2006).

 $\Rightarrow$  In the following section, I show that this is too hasty a conclusion.

# 3 Distributional differences of the Past suffixes

## **3.1** Former Past -(*h*)*kwe*' can occur on Habitual *and* Stative aspect verbs

The Former Past occurs productively on Habitual aspect forms (9), and on a subset of Stative aspect forms (10-11).

 (9) a. ierákwas ie-rakwa-s
 FI.A-choose-нав 'she/they/s.o. is choosing' b. ierákwaskwe'
ie-rakwa-s-kwe'
FI.A-choose-hab-for.pst
'she/they/s.o. were choosing'
(Martin 2023: 138)

Some verbs have on-going episodic readings of that stative, but do not permit the Remote Past.<sup>4</sup> In such cases, the Former Past takes the Stative form as its complement.

- (10) a. Ieksà:'a teiakonniáhkwen.
   ieksa'a te-iako-nonniahkw-en
   child DUPL-FI.P-dance-STAT
   'The child is/was dancing'
  - b. \*Ieksà:'a teiakononniahkwèn:ne' ieksa'a te-iako-nonniahkw-en-hne child DUPL-FI.P-dance-STAT-REM.PST Intended: 'The child was dancing.'
  - c. Ieksà:'a teiakononniahkwen**hkwe'** ieksa'a te-iako-nonniahkw-en-hkwe' child DUPL-FI.P-dance-STAT-FOR.PST 'The child was dancing.'
- (11) a. rowennà:note' ro-wennahnot-e' MsGP-read-stat
   'He is/was reading.'
  - b. \*rowennà:notehne' ro-wennahnot-e-hne' MsGP-read-sтат-кем.рsт Intended: 'He was reading.'
  - c. rowannahnóta**hkwe'** ro-wennahnot-a-hkwe' MsGP-read-stat-for.pst 'He was reading.'

## 3.2 Remote Past -hne' is restricted to a subset of verbs, not to the Stative aspect

Descriptions of the Remote Past *-hne*' typically state that it's distribution is limited to the Stative aspect. As we have seen above in (10-11), the distribution is in fact rather restricted.<sup>5</sup>

- Proposal

Remote Past forms are restricted to verbs whose event descriptions do not encode an endpoint.

Examples of such predicates include:

- number predicates (12)
- temperature predicates (13)
- evaluative predicates (14)
- notionally permanent or difficult-to-change properties, like being lost (15a) or being married (15b)
- (12) Number predicates

áhsen	nikontíhne'	akohsá:tens	ronáhskwaientahkwe'	
ahsen	ni-konti-hne	akohsatens	ro-nahskwaien-t-a-hkwe'	
three	PRT-be.number-REM.PST	horse	MsgP-have.horse-t-stat-for.pst	
'He had three horses.				

(13) **Temperature predicates** 

Iowistóhne		shiiohrhón'ke		
io-wisto-hne		shi-io-hrhon'ke		
N.P-be.cold.stat-rem.pst	Q	COIN-N.P-be.morning		
'Was it cold (weather) this morning?'				

 $^{4}$ See Cross et al. (2023) for a suggestion that a telicity contrast underlies which aspect has the on-going reading. Interestingly, *not all* stative present verbs can use the Remote Past – i.e., this property seems to cross-cut telicity.

<sup>5</sup>Some predicates appear to take both, with corresponding differences in interpretation; see Appendix B.

(14) Evaluative predicates

É:so tsi **iaontonnia'tòn:ne'** eso tsi ia-ontonnia't-on-hne this that N.P-be.boring-STAT-REM.PST 'It was boring.'

## (15) Notionally difficult-to-change properties

a. wakatiòn:ne ne akehnhotónkwa. wak-ati-on-hne ne ake-hnhotonkwa 1SGP-lose-STAT-REM.PST NE 1SGP-keys 'I lost my keys.'

b. Sue rotiniakòn:ne'.
 Sue roti-niak-on-hne
 Sue M.DU.P-marry-STAT-REM.PAST
 'He was married to Sue.'

#### **Right-Unboundedness**

The property assumed to underlie the distribution of the Remote Past *-hne*' is **right unboundedness** defined in (16), following the temporal profile of statives proposed in Altshuler and Schwarzchild (2013) (see also Cable 2017, Appendix C).

### (16) An (untensed stative) verb describes a right-unbounded eventuality $\varphi$ iff:

- a.  $\varphi$  is true at a moment *m*,
- b. for any moment m'' such that m < m'',  $\varphi$  is true

(right-unboundedness)

# **4** Account: Status of the discontinuous past

This section illustrates the two derivation paths to cessation inferences.

- The Former Past cessation implicature is a Gricean inference (§4.1)
- The Remote Past cessation entailment arises from the Remote Past's contribution: it derives a right-*bounded* eventuality (§4.2)

## 4.1 Former Past cessation is a Gricean inference

I follow much work in deriving cessation implicatures via Gricean reasoning: **use of a past tense implicates the falseness of the present tense alternative** (e.g. Altshuler and Schwarzchild 2013; Cable 2017). However, more work must be done to spell out the assumptions regarding morphologically tenseless clauses in general (see e.g., Matthewson 2006; Pancheva and Zubizarreta 2023).

For now, I assume the contributions of Tense and Aspect in Table 1, in particular with respect to the relation between UT t\* and ET  $\tau(e)$ 

	Tense	нав/sтат aspect	on-going at t*?
PRES $\varnothing$	RT = UT	$\operatorname{RT} \subseteq \tau(e)$	$t^* \subseteq \tau(e)$ entailed
$\operatorname{pst} \varnothing$	RT < UT	$\operatorname{RT} \subseteq \tau(e)$	no claim
PST -(h)kwe'	RT < UT	$RT \subseteq \tau(e)$	cessation implicated

Table 1: Kanien'kéha Temporal interpretations

The inference from Past to *Past and ceased-by-UT* proceeds as such:

- Competition is between (null or marked) Past, with no assertion of on-goingness, and Present, which entails on-goingness.
- · Use of marked Past leads specifically to the inference that  $\neg$  (t\*  $\subset \tau(e)$ ), which is defeasible as seen above.
- $\Rightarrow$  Raises the question of choice between null and marked Past tense (to be worked out)

## 4.2 Remote Past cessation is an endpoint assertion: the intuition

The analysis proposed here is that the Remote Past *-hne'* is not marking past tense but is **a derivational suffix**: it is an event-structural operator that **adds an actualized right-bound**. Two desired components for the truth-conditions of *-hne'* marked verbs:

- ENDPOINT INTRODUCTION: the endpoint exists (a cessation inference arises)
- ENDPOINT ACTUALITY: the endpoint has happened in the past in the evaluation world (cessation is entailed)

Consequences of RIGHT-UNBOUNDEDNESS of the eventuality:

- ① for unmarked verbs, the temporal contrast between Past (Ceased at UT) and Present (On-going at UT) is neutralized: they are *necessarily on-going at UT* (see Appendix C)
- <sup>(2)</sup> for *-hne'* marked verbs, the contrast is neutralized in the other direction: they are *necessarily ceased by UT*:
  - The endpoint actuality condition requires that the endpoint takes place in the history of the evaluation world
  - A present tense (RT = UT) contributes a restriction conflicting with the endpoint actuality and is ruled out
  - A past ceased-event interpretation is the only remaining interpretation available

We can see further the necessity of the endpoint actuality condition in the following contrast – *-hne*' is **not 'finish':** the predicate *-hs* 'finish' in possible in contexts of future actualization of the right-bound, *-hne*' marked verbs are not

- (17) a. Wísk mínit enhahsa' tsi rotshókwen wisk minit en-ra-hs-a' tsi ro-atshokw-en five minute FUT-MsGA-finish-PUNC that MsGP-smoke-STAT 'In five minutes, he will finish smoking.'
  - b. \*wísk mínit enhotsokwèn:ne' wísk mínit en-ro-atsokwen-hne' five minute FUT-MsGP-smoke-stat-rem.pst Intended: 'In five minutes, he will finish smoking/have smoked.'

\_ Summary \_

Under the analysis sketched here, neither suffix encodes *both* cessation and a Tense restriction along the lines needed for a DISCONTINUOUS PAST (Plungian and van der Auwera 2006).

# 5 Conclusions and future research

#### **Overall upshot:**

- Kanien'kéha Former Past -(*h*)*kwe*' is a Tense suffx;
- the Remote Past *-hne'* is instead an event-structural operator: it derives a right-bounded eventuality from a lexically right-unbounded eventuality, by introducing an actualized right-bound.
- ⇒ The status of discontinuous past as an available tense does not find independent, unambiguous motivation in Kanien'kéha (as in Cable 2017)

# References

Altshuler, Daniel, and Roger Schwarzchild. 2013. Moment of change, cessation implicatures and simultaneous readings. In *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung* 17, ed. Emmanuel Chemla, Vincent Homer, and Grégoire Winterstein, 45–62. Paris: ENS.

Baker, Mark, and Lisa Travis. 1997. Mood as verbal definiteness in a "tenseless" language. *Natural Language Semantics* 5:213–269.

Baker, Mark, and Lisa Travis. 1998. Events, times, and Mohawk verbal inflection. *Canadian Journal of Linguistics/Revue canadienne de linguistique* 43:149–203.

Bochnak, M. Ryan. 2016. Past time reference in a language with optional tense. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 39:247–294.

Bonvillain, Nancy. 1973. *A grammar of akwesasne mohawk*. Ottawa: National Museum of Man, National Museums of Canada. Cable, Seth. 2017. The implicatures of optional past tense in Tlingit and the implications for 'discontinuous past'. *Natural* 

Language and Linguistic Theory 35:635-681.

Chen, Sihwei, Jozina Vander Klok, Lisa Matthewson, and Hotze Rullmann. 2021. The 'experiential' as an existential past: Evidence from javanese and atayal. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 39:709–758.

Cross, Tehokwiráthe, Terrance Gatchalian, Katya Morgunova, Willie Myers, and Ro'nikonhkátste Norton. 2023. Lexical aspect and the stative present in Kanien'kéha. In *Paper presented at the Workshop on Structure and Constituency in Languages of the Americas (WSCLA)* 2023. Montréal, QC: McGill University.

Klein, Wolfgang. 1994. Time in language. London: Routledge.

Lounsbury, Floyd. 1953. Oneida verb morphology. New Haven, CT: Yale University Publications in anthropology.

- Lukaniec, Megan. 2018. The elaboration of verbal structure: Wendat (Huron) verb morphology. Doctoral Dissertation, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara.
- Martin, Akwiratékha'. 2023. *Tekawennahsonterónnion: Kanien'kéha morphology*. Kahnawake, QC: Kanien'kehá:ka Onkwawén:na Raotitióhkwa, 2nd ed. edition.

Matthewson, Lisa. 2006. Temporal semantics in a superficially tenseless language. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 29:673–713. Michelson, Gunther. 1973. *Thousand words of mohawk*. Mercury Series/LaCollection Mercure. University of Ottawa Press.

Ormston, Jennifer. 1993. Some aspects of Mohawk: The system of verbal inflectional categories. Master's thesis, McGill University, Montréal, QC.

Pancheva, Roumyana, and Maria Luisa Zubizarreta. 2023. No tense: temporality in the grammar of Paraguayan Guarani. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 46:1329–1391.

Plungian, Vladimir A., and Johan van der Auwera. 2006. Towards a typology of discontinuous past marking. *Sprachtypologie* und Universalienforschung (STUF) 59:317–349.

Reichenbach, Hans. 1947. Elements of symbolic logic. New York: Macmilan & Co.

Woodbury, Hanni. 2018. A reference grammar of the Onondaga language. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

## A Past tense diagnostics

*Temporal anteriority* may be expressed by a number of grammatical strategies, of which TENSE and AS-PECT are relevant here. I assume a three-times model of Tense/Aspect (Reichenbach 1947; Klein 1994). Expressing *temporal anteriority* is not sufficient to determine which relation a form instantiates. I take the following diagnostics to establish their status as Tense morphemes (though see §3):

#### (18) Diagnostics for tense vs. aspect

a.	obligatory backshift in embedded context	(past tense OR anterior/perfect aspect)
b.	restriction to past reference	(past tense OR anterior/perfect aspect)
c.	restriction to <i>absolute</i> past; no past-in-the-future	(Chen et al. 2021: 742ff)

## A.1 Embedding under non-attitude predicates

#### Diagnostic (18a): Obligatory backshift in embedded contexts:

· Past forms embedded under (past-interpreted) perfective verbs are obligatorily back-shifted

- (19) Context for simultaneous interpretation: I went out with with Willie, and Katya couldn't make it. Katya said she had other plans at the time. Willie told me what Katya was doing.
  Willie wahen:ron' Katya Aquatic Centre kiontá:wens#(kwe').
  Willie wa-ha-ihron-' Katya Aquatic Centre k-ie-atawen-s-kwe'.
  Willie FACT-MsGA-say-PUNC Katya CIS-FI.A-swim-HAB-PAST 'Willie said Katya was swimming at the Aquatic Centre.'
- (20) Context for backshifted interpretation: Katya didn't show up for a get-together last week. Willie told me yesterday why.
  Willie wahèn:ron' tiahia'khera tsi náhe Katya Aquatic Centre kiontá:wens(kwe')
  Willie wa-ha-ihron-' tiahia'khera tsi náhe Katya Aquatic Centre t-ie-atawen-s-kwe'
  Willie FACT-MSGA-say-PUNC last.week Katya CIS-FI.A-swim-HAB-PAST 'Willie said that Katya was swimming at the Aquatic Centre last week.'

# (21) Context for simultaneous interpretation: Paul went out for a smoke break and is still out there. John tells me where Paul is and I report back. Shawátis wahèn:ron' Kór thotshokwèn#(:ne). Shawatis wa-ha-ihron-' Kor t-ho-atshokw-en-hne John FACT-MSGA-say-PUNC Paul CIS-MSGP-smoke-STAT-PAST Intended: 'John said that Paul was smoking there.' SPEAKER COMMENT: No. ho's done smoking

SPEAKER COMMENT: No, he's done smoking.

(22) Context for backshifted reading: I come into the room and it's very smoky. No one is currently smoking, but John tells me why there's so much smoke in the air.
Shawátis wahèn:ron' Kó:r rotshokwèn(#:ne).
Shawatis wa-ha-ihron-' Kor ro-atshokw-en-hne
John FACT-MSGA-say-PUNC Paul MSGP-smoke-STAT-PAST
'John said that Paul was smoking.'

#### No past-in-the-future use, i.e., suffixes restricted to absolute past

- Alternative **anterior/perfect aspect analyses** of the past markers predict the possibility of *past-in-the-future* readings. This is not borne out.
- (23) No future perfects (*past-in-the-future*) with Former Past -(*h*)kwe'
  - a. \* Nó:nen ó:ia' ientsóserate' tewáhsen nikahiatonhserá:ke **(en)wakewennahnó:tahkwe'**. nonen oia ientsoserate' te-wahsen nikahiatonhsera-ke en-wake-wennahnot-a-hkwe' now next it.will.be.new.year DUPL-ten PRT-book-COUNT FUT-1SGP-read-STAT-FOR.PST Intended: 'By this time next year, I will have read 20 books.'
  - b. Nó:nen ó:ia' ientsóserate' tewáhsen nikahiatonhserá:ke enwakewennahnó:take'.
    nonen oia ientsoserate' te-wahsen nikahiatonhsera-ke en-wake-wennahnot-a-k-e'
    now next it.will.be.new.year DUPL-ten PRT-book-COUNT FUT-1sGP-read-STAT-CONT-PUNC
    'By this time next year, I will have read 20 books.'

#### (24) No future perfects (past-in-the-future) with Remote Past -hne'

- a. \*wísk mínit enhotsokwèn:ne' wísk mínit en-ro-atsokwen-hne' five minute FUT-MsGP-smoke-sтат-REM.PST Intended: 'In five minutes, he will finish smoking/have smoked.'
- b. Wísk mínit enhahsa' tsi rotshókwen wisk minit en-ra-hs-a' tsi ro-atshokw-en five minute FUT-MsGA-finish-PUNC that MsGP-smoke-STAT 'In five minutes, he will finish smoking.'

# **B** Verbs taking both Former and Remote Pasts

Further indication that the (right-)unboundedness of the event description is the key determinant is that some predicates are possible with *either* the Former or Remote past, sometimes with a corresponding difference in interpretation.

(25)	a.	Iowistóhne	b.	Kewistóskwe'
		io-wisto-hne		ke-wisto-s-kwe'
		N.P-be.cold.stat-rem.pst		1SGA-be.cold-нав-for,pst
		'It was cold (weather).'		'I was cold.'
		$\Rightarrow$ It is no longer cold.		$\Rightarrow$ I am no longer cold.

(26)	a.	tkaieríhne'	b.	tkaiérihkwe'
		t-ka-ieri-hne'		t-ka-ieri-hkwe'
		CIS-N.A-be.correct.stat-rem.pst		CIS-N.A-be.correct.stat-for.pst
		'It was correct.'		'It was correct.'
		$\Rightarrow$ It is no longer correct.		$\Rightarrow$ It is no longer correct.

# **C** Present and past tense neutralization with unboundedness

#### – Unboundedness

- (27) An (untensed stative) verb describes an unbounded eventuality  $\varphi$  iff (following Altshuler and Schwarzchild 2013):
  - a.  $\varphi$  is true at a moment *m*,
  - b. for any moment m' such that m' < m,  $\varphi$  is true (left-unboundedness)
  - c. for any moment m'' such that m < m'',  $\varphi$  is true (right-unboundedness)

Present/Past tense contrasts are neutralized with unbounded predicates, shown by contradiction in (28).

```
Derivation of necessary present reference ----
(28)
       Morphologically tenseless unbounded events are necessarily on-going at UT
            assume \varphi holds at all m \in ET
       a.
       b.
            assume ET < UT
                                                   (assume event time strictly precedes utterance time)
            from (28b)<sup>6</sup>, \exists m^0 s.t. ET < m^0 < UT and \varphi = 0 at m^0
       c.
           \forall m'' s.t. m < m'', \varphi = 1 at m''
       d.
                                                                            (by definition, \varphi is true at all m)
            from (28d), \neg \exists m'' s.t. m < m'', \varphi = 0 at m''
       e.
       f.
            (28c) and (28e) are contradictions.
             \neg (ET < UT); therefore ET = UT; by (28a), \varphi holds at UT
                                                                                                               \Box
       g.
Right-boundedness with -hne' -
```

# (29) An (untensed stative) eventuality $\varphi$ is right-bounded iff:

a.  $\varphi$  is true at a moment *m*,

- b. (for any moment m' such that  $m' < m, \varphi$  is true) (left-unboundedness)
- c. there exists a moment m'' such that m < m'' in the evaluation world w\* where  $\varphi$  is false (actualized right-bound)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>The validity of this inference depends on assumptions about whether and how eventualities abut each other